## 2026 A&H Seed Grant Review Form

All reviews are due by January 19

Please fill out and submit one form for every proposal assigned to you. Once you submit all of your forms RCED will review the forms for complete information and you will be notified that you have completed the review process. Review forms are sent to RCED staff.

## Total: 30 Points

* Required	
* This form will record your name, please fill your name.	
Proposal Information	
Reviewer ID Number (see the title of your MS Task)	
2. (Principal) Applicant Name *	
3. (Principal) Applicant Department *	

**Project Summary** 

audiences, or both		ignificant to the arts/numan	ities, including its value to s	scholars, general
	0 (No)	1	2	3 (yes)
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
5. The proposed acti	vities are clear. * (3 Poin	its)		
	0 (No)	1	2	3 (yes)
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$	$\circ$
6. Milestones/outcor	mes are clear and can be	reasonably accomplished du	uring the grant period. * (	(3 Points)
	0 (No)	1	2	3 (yes)
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\circ$
7. The proposed pro	ject meets all eligibility re	equirements listed in the Cal	I for Applications. * (3 Poir	nts)
	0 (No)	1	2	3 (yes)
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	

3 (yes)

 $\bigcirc$ 

					_
ついつん	A Q- LI	Caad	Cront	Review	Lama
ZUZU	Ααп	Secu	CHani	Review	POHIL

Budgeting and	Funding Potential			
8. The project has h	nigh potential for external	funding. * (3 Points)		
	0 (No)	1	2	3 (yes)
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
9. The targeted fun	ding agencies, foundation	ns, institutions, etc. for the pla	nned proposal are approp	riate. * (3 Points)
	0 (No)	1	2	3 (yes)
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
10. The proposed bu * (3 Points)	udget items are clearly exp	plained and reasonable.		
	0 (No)	1	2	3 (yes)
	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
11. All proposed exp * (3 Points)	penses are allowable (see G	Call for Applications).		

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

0 (No)

 $\bigcirc$ 

Work Plan				
12. Work plan is feasi	ble and well-organized t	o accomplish the milestones/o	outcomes listed in the pro	oposal. * (3 Points)
	0 (No)	1	2	3 (yes)
	$\circ$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
13. The amount of tin * (3 Points)	ne allocated to complete	the project is reasonable give	en the requested budget	amount.
	0 (No)	1	2	3 (yes)
	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$

## Final Recommendation & Feedback

14.	Plea	se select one of the following statements that best reflects your overall assessment. *
	0	The proposal is very worthy of funding because it clearly addresses all aspects of the call for applications.
	$\bigcirc$	The proposal has some positive attributes that may warrant seed funding.
	$\bigcirc$	The proposal has some attributes that raise questions about the appropriateness of AWARDING the seed funding.
15.	info will	isse thoroughly explain the rationale behind your scoring. Extensive comments are important as they will be used to rm RCED staff for final decision-making. Applicants may request summaries of reviewer feedback. While applicants not see your reviewer forms, explanations of your scoring helps successful applicants improve their projects before renal funding applications, and helps unsuccessful applicants improve their proposals before re-submission.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Microsoft. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner.

Microsoft Forms